

**In the Drawings**

FIGs. 5A and 5B are respectively amended to add the label "WFa" and "WFb."

**Attachments**

Replacement Sheet

## **REMARKS**

The Examiner is thanked for the thorough examination of the present application and the substantive allowance of all claims 1-12. In this regard, only certain objections and technical rejections were advanced, and Applicants submit the foregoing amendments to address and overcome those issues.

### **Drawing Objections**

The Office Action objected to the drawings as allegedly failing to show sample points "Xp, Xm, and Yp" in Figs. 4 and 5. These points are, however, referenced in FIG. 1, and Applicants have amended the specification to make specific reference to that figure during the relevant discussion.

### **Claim Rejections**

The Office Action rejected claims 1, 5, and 7 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly indefinite for containing the term "roughly." In response, Applicant as amended the claims (and the specification) to change the term roughly to "substantially." This term has been well accepted by the precedent of the Federal Circuit, and indeed the Patent Office itself. Indeed, the undersigned performed an electronic search of issued patents (using the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office's Web site search engine) that contained the term "substantially" in their claims, and the search resulted in 874,708 hits. Clearly, the amended claims (substituting the term "substantially" for "roughly") are fully compliant with 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, and the rejections should be withdrawn.

The Office Action also rejected claims 3, noting that: “the absolute value” lacked antecedent basis. Applicant has amended this to read “an absolute value.” Accordingly, that rejection should be withdrawn.

In addition to the foregoing, Applicant made certain other cosmetic and editorial amendments to the specification and claims.

### **Conclusion**

For the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Notice of such allowance and passing of the application to issue, are earnestly requested. Should the Examiner feel that a conference would be helpful in expediting the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is hereby invited to contact the undersigned counsel to arrange for such an interview.

No fee is believed to be due in connection with this amendment and response to Office Action. If, however, any fee is believed to be due, you are hereby authorized to charge any such fee to deposit account No. 20-0778.

Respectfully submitted,

/Daniel R. McClure/

By:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Daniel R. McClure  
Registration No. 38,962

**Thomas, Kayden, Horstemeyer & Risley, LLP**  
100 Galleria Pkwy, NW  
Suite 1750  
Atlanta, GA 30339  
770-933-9500